UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/660,169	09/11/2003	Robert D. Nuckolls	P-9236	7593 .
24209 GUNNISON M	7590 11/19/2007 1CKAY & HODGSON, L	I.P	EXAMINER	
1900 GARDEN ROAD PAN, DANIEL H				NIEL H
SUITE 220 MONTEREY,	CA 93940		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2183	
,				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/19/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•		m			
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	· . ·		
	10/660,169	NUCKOLLS ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Daniel Pan	2183			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	9SS		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was precised to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this comn D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		•			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05/07	<u>7/07,09/14/07</u> .				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1-44 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) 1-29 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 30-44 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 11 September 2003 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR	1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National St	age		
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D: 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/660,169 Page 2

Art Unit: 2183

1. Clams 1-44 remain for examination.

- 2. Claims 30-34,36-37, 39-40,42,44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by White (5,996,071).
- 3. Claims 30,39 have not been changed. Change in claim 33 is directed to language format, and does not affect the scope of the claim.
- 4. Claims 35,41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over White (5,996,071) in view of Smith (4,370,711).
- 5. Claim 38, 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over White (5,996,071) in view of Chang (5,687,360).
- 6. The rejections to claims 30-44 have been maintained and incorporated by reference the last Office action open 02/02/07. However, in view of applicant's remarks and upon further review, the amended claims 1-29 are allowable over the art of record for reciting details of the branch storage, the storage of branch indication, the connections of the portion of instruction instance identifier to the first output and second output.
- 7. The response regarding claims 30-44 filed on 09/14/07 and the response on 05/07/07 have been fully considered but are not persuasive.
- 8. In the remarks, applicant argued that:
- a) White specifically taught Branch Unit, and not the L1 cache stored target information used for perfecting target addresses;
- b) White holds the target instruction that is executed based on the prediction by the branch unit as to what instruction should be executed next and fails to have any input on determining the direction of the branch or any branch qualifier. White makes it clear that it is the branch unit and not the L1 cache that makes the determination of the predicted path;
- c) the branch prediction is based on information in the branch target cache, not information in the L1 cache.

Application/Control Number: 10/660,169 Page 3

Art Unit: 2183

9. As to a,b above, White's cache 204 and branch unit 250 (252) combined are a "branch prediction storage" because White taught the 204 cache in fig.6 b was the organization of an exemplary branch target cache as 4-way set associative, and the fig.6 c illustrated the entries in the branch target cache including for each entry the L1 cache entry index (the set number), byte location, and the way number for the cache line containing the target instruction in the L1 cache (see co1.6, lines 64-67, co1.7, lines I-6). Therefore, the L1 cache 204 branch target cache and 252 branch target cache are a branch prediction storage. Further evidence also shows that the 204 L1 target cache and 252 target cache are interconnected by delicate buses (see co1.11, lines 36-43). Therefore, L1 204 and 252 had dedicated connection and worked together as one prediction storage unit. And, since it was directed to the prediction, it must have conveyed the direction of the branch or any branch qualifier, such as the prediction information.

10. As to c, although the branch prediction was based on information in the branch target cache, the branch target cache included for each entry the L1 cache entry index (the set number), byte location, and the way number for the cache line containing the target instruction in the L1 cache (see co1.6, lines 64-67, co1.7, lines I-6), and they are interconnected by dedicated buses (see co1.11, lines 36-43). Therefore, L1 cache was an integral part of branch target cache. Arguing the L1 cache to be a separate part from the branch target cache is not in conformity of what is being taught by White, and it is certainly not a reasonable reading in view of the explicitly teachings of the way information for the L1 cache entry and the interconnected dedicated buses by White.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/660,169

Art Unit: 2183

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dan Pan whose telephone number is 571 272 4172. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chan, can be reached on 571 272 4162. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703 306 5404.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

21 Century Strategic Plan